

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAGHVENDRA SINGH,
Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITATION, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-3148-DJC-JDP (PS)

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding without an attorney, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On January 13, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff, and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the

1 district court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds
2 the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper
3 analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed January 13, 2025, are
6 ADOPTED;
- 7 2. The complaint, ECF No. 1, is dismissed without leave to amend for failure to
8 state a cognizable claim; and
- 9 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this action.

10
11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: **February 14, 2025**


13 Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28